

The Minkowski problem for the torsional rigidity

Andrea Colesanti & Michele Fimiani

Abstract

We prove the existence and uniqueness up to translations of the solution to a Minkowski type problem for the torsional rigidity in the class of open bounded convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$. For the existence part we apply the variational method introduced by Jerison in: Adv. Math. 122 (1996), pp. 262–279. Uniqueness follows from the Brunn–Minkowski inequality for the torsional rigidity and corresponding equality conditions.

AMS 2000 Subject Classification: 35J05 (primary), 52A20, 52A40, 49N99.

1 Introduction

One possible formulation of the Minkowski problem for a set functional $\mathbf{F}$ is: *find (uniquely) a convex set Ω given the first variation of $\mathbf{F}$ as a function of the outer normals to $\partial\Omega$.*

In more precise terms, let $\mathbf{F}$ be a real-valued functional defined for every open bounded convex subset Ω of $\mathbb{R}^n$ (many Minkowski problems are posed in the class of *convex bodies*, i.e. compact convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$; here we prefer to consider open sets because the torsional rigidity is usually defined for this type of sets). Assume that $\mathbf{F}$ is positively homogeneous of some degree $\alpha \neq 0$. In many examples we see that associated to Ω there exists a non-negative Borel measure $\mu_{\mathbf{F},\Omega}$ on the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that: (i) a representation formula holds

$$\mathbf{F}(\Omega) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h(X) d\mu_{\mathbf{F},\Omega}(X), \quad (1)$$

where h is the *support function* of (the closure of) Ω ; (ii) a Hadamard's variational formula holds

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{F}(\Omega + t\Omega') \right|_{t=0^+} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h'(X) d\mu_{\mathbf{F},\Omega}(X), \quad (2)$$

for every $\Omega' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with the same features as Ω , with corresponding support function h' . The last formula indicates that $\mu_{\mathbf{F},\Omega}$ is the first variation of $\mathbf{F}$ when we endow the class of convex

sets with the usual Minkowski addition. If $\mathbf{F}$ is translation invariant (which is the case in all known examples), then a simple consequence of (2) is the vector equation

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} X d\mu_{\mathbf{F},\Omega}(X) = 0. \quad (3)$$

Another “typical” condition is that:

$$\mu_{\mathbf{F},\Omega} \text{ is not supported on any great sub-sphere of } \mathbb{S}^{n-1}. \quad (4)$$

The Minkowski problem for $\mathbf{F}$. *Given a non-negative Borel measure μ on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ which fulfills conditions (3) and (4), find Ω such that $\mu = \mu_{\mathbf{F},\Omega}$.*

When $\mathbf{F}$ is the n -dimensional volume, this is the classical Minkowski problem. In this case $\mu_{\mathbf{F},\Omega}$ is the *area measure* of the closure of Ω , i.e., for every Borel subset of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$

$$\mu_{\mathbf{F},\Omega}(\eta) = \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\nu^{-1}(\eta))$$

where $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ is the $(n-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure and, for $x \in \partial\Omega$, $\nu(x)$ is the outer unit normal to $\partial\Omega$ at x , which is defined for $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ -a.e. $x \in \partial\Omega$. In particular, if $\partial\Omega$ is of class C^2 with everywhere positive Gauss curvature, then

$$d\mu_{\mathbf{F},\Omega}(X) = \frac{1}{\kappa(X)} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X),$$

where $\kappa(X)$ is the Gauss curvature at the point $x \in \partial\Omega$ where $\nu(x) = X$. Hence prescribing the area measure is equivalent to assign the Gauss curvature as a function of the outer unit normal. The classical Minkowski problem is completely solved: we have existence, and uniqueness up to translations of the solution, and regularity depending on the smoothness of the datum μ . We refer the reader to [13, Chapter 7] and [3] for a detailed presentation of these results.

In the paper [11] Jerison proved the validity of (1) and (2) when $\mathbf{F}$ is the electrostatic capacity and he established the existence and uniqueness up to translations of the solution of the Minkowski problem for this functional (see also [2]). In a subsequent paper ([12]) he gave a new proof of this result (the existence part only) using a variational approach based on a rather delicate extension of (2). Namely, he proved that for every $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ (when $\mathbf{F}$ is the capacity)

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{F}(\Omega_t) \right|_{t=0^+} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(X) d\mu_{\mathbf{F},\Omega}(X), \quad (5)$$

where Ω_t is determined as follows:

$$\Omega_t = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, X \rangle < h(X) + tf(X), \forall X \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\}$$

(here we have to assume that the origin belongs to Ω). Ω_t is non-empty, open, bounded and convex if $|t|$ is sufficiently small; in particular if f is a support function, then the support function of Ω_t is $h + tf$, so that (5) extends (2). Once (5) is established, Jerison solves the variational problem

$$m_{\mathbf{F}} = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_L(X) d\mu(X) \mid L \text{ compact and convex, } \text{int}(L) \neq \emptyset, \mathbf{F}(\text{int}(L)) \geq 1 \right\}, \quad (6)$$

(“int” denotes the interior) and using (5) he proves that the Euler–Lagrange equation of (6) is nothing but

$$\lambda\mu = \mu_{\mathbf{F},\Omega}, \quad \Omega = \text{int}(L),$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a Lagrange multiplier. In this way he obtains the existence of a solution to the Minkowski problem, given by a suitable rescaling of L . In [11] this method is also applied to the Minkowski problem for the transfinite diameter ($n = 2$) and for the first eigenvalue of the Laplace operator with Dirichlet boundary condition. It is worth noticing that (5) is valid in the case of the volume as well (see [13, Lemma 6.5.3]) and the above technique can be successfully applied to the classic Minkowski problem.

In this paper, motivated by the work of Jerison, we consider the case when $\mathbf{F}$ is the torsional rigidity. Let us recall that the torsional rigidity $\tau(\Omega)$ of an open bounded subset Ω of $\mathbb{R}^n$ (with some basic boundary regularity) can be defined as

$$\tau(\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx,$$

where u is the solution of the boundary–value problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = -2 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

When Ω is convex, using a result of Dahlberg it can be proved that ∇u is defined $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ -a.e. on $\partial\Omega$ and $\nabla u \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ (see §2). In particular the following measure can be defined on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$

$$\mu_{\tau,\Omega}(\eta) = \int_{\nu^{-1}(\eta)} |\nabla u|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1},$$

for every Borel subset η of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Our first step (see §2) is to prove the validity of (1) and (2) for $\mathbf{F} = \tau$. Let us remark that under suitable smoothness assumptions on $\partial\Omega$ these formulas can be deduced by (a clever use of) the Divergence Theorem (we refer to [4] and [6] for the details). On the other hand the extension to the general case requires several technical steps. In §3 we establish (5) for the torsional rigidity. Here the crucial tool is a geometric lemma proved in [11]. Collecting these results and following Jerison’s variational approach we obtain an existence theorem for the Minkowski problem for τ .

Theorem 1. *Let μ be a non-negative Borel measure on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$; assume that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} X \, d\mu(X) = 0, \quad (8)$$

and that the support of μ is not contained in any great sub-sphere of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Then there exists an open bounded convex subset Ω of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mu = \mu_{\tau, \Omega}$.

Let us now come to the issue of uniqueness. In many examples of Minkowski problems, including the classical one, uniqueness is proved via a *Brunn-Minkowski type inequality* for the involved functional $\mathbf{F}$ and the corresponding characterization of equality conditions. We say that $\mathbf{F}$ satisfies a Brunn-Minkowski inequality with standard equality conditions if, for every Ω_0 and Ω_1 open bounded and convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and for every $t \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\mathbf{F}^{1/\alpha}((1-t)\Omega_0 + t\Omega_1) \geq (1-t)\mathbf{F}^{1/\alpha}(\Omega_0) + t\mathbf{F}^{1/\alpha}(\Omega_1), \quad (9)$$

and

$$\text{equality holds if and only if } \Omega_1 \text{ is a translate and dilate of } \Omega_0. \quad (10)$$

When $\mathbf{F}$ is the volume this is the classical Brunn-Minkowski Theorem, see [13, Chapter 6]. For all the other examples of functionals mentioned before, including the torsional rigidity, (9) and (10) are valid; for the details we refer the reader to [4] and the literature quoted therein. There is a standard argument based on (2), (9) and (10) to prove uniqueness in the Minkowski problem for $\mathbf{F}$; this argument can be found in [13, §7.2] or in [4] in the case of the volume, but it can be repeated identically in the case of τ . Hence we have the following completion of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *In the assumptions of Theorem 1, the set Ω is uniquely determined up to a translation.*

The authors wish to thank professor D. Jerison for his suggestions concerning the proof of the Hadamard formula for the torsional rigidity.

2 Some preliminary results

Let Ω be an open bounded convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and consider the solution u of the boundary-value problem (7). By standard results in the theory of elliptic partial differential equations (see e.g. [7]) u is uniquely determined and belongs to $C^\infty(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$. Note also that, by the strong maximum principle, $u > 0$ in Ω . The function u can be equivalently defined through a variational problem, indeed it minimizes the functional

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 \, dx - 4 \int_{\Omega} |v| \, dx,$$

as v ranges in $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. In particular $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. The torsional rigidity $\tau(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$\tau(\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

Remark 1. If u is the solution of (7) in Ω and $s \geq 0$, then the function

$$v(y) = s^2 u\left(\frac{y}{s}\right), \quad y \in s\Omega,$$

is the corresponding solution in $s\Omega = \{y = sx \mid x \in \Omega\}$. From this fact and the definition of torsional rigidity it follows that τ is positively homogeneous of order $n + 2$:

$$\tau(s\Omega) = s^{n+2} \tau(\Omega), \quad \forall \Omega, \forall s \geq 0.$$

The convexity of the domain strongly influences the geometry of the solution u . The main result in this direction is the following theorem (see [10], [9]).

Theorem 3. *Let Ω be an open bounded convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let u be the solution of problem (7) in Ω . Then $\sqrt{u}$ is a concave function in Ω .*

Let $M_{\Omega} = \max_{\overline{\Omega}} u$; for every $t \in [0, M_{\Omega}]$ we define

$$\Omega_t = \{x \in \Omega \mid u(x) > t\}.$$

By Theorem 3, Ω_t is convex for every t . Moreover, $\nabla u(x) = 0$ if and only if $u(x) = M_{\Omega}$ so that

$$\partial\Omega_t = \{x \in \Omega \mid u(x) = t\} \quad \forall t \in (0, M_{\Omega}). \quad (11)$$

Theorem 3 leads to an L^{∞} estimate for the gradient of u .

Lemma 1. *Let Ω be an open bounded convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let u be the solution of (7) in Ω . Then*

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq \text{diam}(\Omega) \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

Proof. Let $\bar{x} \in \Omega$ and $t = u(\bar{x}) > 0$. If $u(\bar{x}) = M_{\Omega}$ then $\nabla u(\bar{x}) = 0$ and the claim is true. Assume $u(\bar{x}) < M_{\Omega}$; this implies that $\bar{x} \in \partial\Omega_t$. The convex set Ω_t admits a support hyperplane π at $\bar{x}$. We may choose an orthogonal coordinate system with origin O and coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_n$, in $\mathbb{R}^n$, such that $\bar{x} = O$, $\pi = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_n = 0\}$ and $\Omega_t \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_n \geq 0\}$. By a standard argument based on the implicit function theorem, $\partial\Omega_t$ is of class C^{∞} so that π is in fact the tangent hyperplane to $\partial\Omega_t$ at $\bar{x}$. Consequently we have

$$|\nabla u(\bar{x})| = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n}(\bar{x}).$$

We also have the inclusion $\Omega_t \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_n \leq d\}$, where $d = \text{diam}(\Omega)$. Let us introduce the function

$$w(x) = w(x_1, \dots, x_n) = t + x_n(d - x_n), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Note that $\Delta w(x) = -2$ for every x in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $w(x) \geq t$ for $x \in \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid 0 \leq x_n \leq d\}$. In particular $w \geq u$ on $\partial\Omega_t$ and, by the Comparison Principle,

$$w(x) \geq u(x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega_t.$$

Finally, as $u(\bar{x}) = w(\bar{x})$,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n}(\bar{x}) \leq \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_n}(\bar{x}) = d.$$

□

Next we investigate the boundary behavior of ∇u . We will use the notion of *non-tangential limit* of a function at a boundary point of a domain, which we briefly recall (for further details we refer the reader to [8]). Let Ω be an open bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $\bar{x} \in \partial\Omega$. For $\alpha > 0$ we define the *non-tangential cone*

$$\Gamma_\alpha(\bar{x}) = \{x \in \Omega \mid |x - \bar{x}| \leq (1 + \alpha) \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)\}.$$

We say that a sequence of points $x_i \in \Omega$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, converges non-tangentially to $\bar{x} \in \partial\Omega$ if, for some $\alpha > 0$,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = \bar{x} \quad \text{and} \quad x_i \in \Gamma_\alpha(\bar{x}) \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Moreover, we say that a function w defined in Ω admits *non-tangential limit* L at $\bar{x} \in \partial\Omega$ if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}, x \in \Gamma_\alpha(\bar{x})} w(x) = L$$

and such a limit does not depend on α . In this case we write

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \bar{x} \text{ n.t.}} w(x) = L.$$

By a *Lipschitz set* we mean a set which can be expressed locally, after a suitable choice of the coordinate system, as the epigraph of a Lipschitz function of $(n - 1)$ variables. Note that an open bounded convex set is a Lipschitz set. We quote an important result by Dahlberg (see [5]).

Theorem 4. *Let Ω be a Lipschitz open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let w be harmonic and bounded by below in Ω . Then for $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ -a.e. point $x \in \partial\Omega$, w has a finite non-tangential limit at x .*

Proposition 1. *Let Ω be an open bounded convex set of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let u be the solution of (7) in Ω . Then for $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ -a.e. $x \in \partial\Omega$, ∇u has finite non-tangential limit at x , i.e. each component of ∇u has finite non-tangential limit at x .*

Proof. Let us fix $\bar{t} \in (0, M_\Omega)$ and let $x' \in \Omega$ be such that $u(x') = M_\Omega$; note that $\Omega_{\bar{t}}$ is an open set, whose closure is contained in Ω , and $x' \in \Omega_{\bar{t}}$. Hence, there exists $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\Omega_{\bar{t}} \supset B_\rho = \text{open ball of radius } \rho \text{ centered at } x'.$$

For every $t \in (0, \bar{t})$, $\Omega_t \supset \Omega_{\bar{t}} \supset B_\rho$; since Ω_t is convex, is also star-shaped with respect to every point of B_ρ . We consider the set

$$S = \Omega \setminus \text{cl}(\Omega_{\bar{t}}) = \{x \in \Omega \mid u(x) < \bar{t}\}$$

(where “cl” denotes the closure of a set). Let y be a point in B_ρ ; as the super-level set are star-shaped with respect to y we have that the function

$$\tilde{w}(x) = \langle \nabla u(x), y - x \rangle$$

is non-negative in S . Moreover $\Delta \tilde{w} = -4$ in S . As a consequence, the function

$$w(x) = \tilde{w}(x) - \frac{2}{n}|x|^2, \quad x \in S,$$

is harmonic and bounded by below in S . As $\partial S = \partial\Omega \cup \partial\Omega_{\bar{t}}$, applying Theorem 4 we deduce that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ -a.e. on $\partial\Omega$, w has finite non-tangential limit and this implies that the same is true for the function $\tilde{w}$. Now, we observe that the point y in the definition of $\tilde{w}$ can be chosen arbitrarily in B_ρ ; if we apply the above argument for $y = x'$ and $y = x' + \frac{\rho}{2}e_1$ respectively, where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is the canonical basis in $\mathbb{R}^n$, we obtain that the function

$$\langle \nabla u(x), e_1 \rangle = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}$$

admits finite non-tangential limit for $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ -a.e. point of $\partial\Omega$. The same can be done for every component of ∇u and this completes the proof. $\square$

According to the above result, if Ω is an open bounded convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and u is the solution of problem (7) in Ω , then ∇u is defined at $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ -a.e. point of $\partial\Omega$ and, by Lemma 1, $\nabla u \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$. As we already mentioned Ω is a Lipschitz set and then $\partial\Omega$ is differentiable $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ -a.e.; consequently for $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ -a.e. $x \in \partial\Omega$ the outer unit normal $\nu(x) \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is defined. The map ν is called the *Gauss map* and it is usually defined for the closure $\text{cl}(\Omega)$ instead of Ω itself. Note that ν^{-1} maps Borel subsets of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ into $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ -measurable subsets of $\partial\Omega$ (see [13, Lemma 2.2.11]). We are now in position to define the main ingredient of this paper, i.e. the measure $\mu_{\tau, \Omega}$, which corresponds to the notion of *area measure* when the volume is replaced by the torsional rigidity.

Definition 1. Let Ω be an open bounded convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let u be the solution of problem (7) in Ω . Let ν be the Gauss map of Ω . For every Borel subset η of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ we set

$$\mu_{\tau, \Omega}(\eta) = \int_{\nu^{-1}(\eta)} |\nabla u(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x).$$

Hence $\mu_{\tau, \Omega}$ is a non-negative Borel measure on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

3 The Hadamard formula for τ

Before we state the main result of this section, let us briefly recall the notion of set addition (or *Minkowski addition*) and some other facts from Convex Geometry. Let A and B two subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$; their sum is defined as

$$A + B = \{x + y \mid x \in A, y \in B\}.$$

Note that if A and B are open (resp. closed, bounded, convex), then $A + B$ is open (resp. closed, bounded, convex). Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a *convex body*, i.e. a compact convex set. The support function h_K of K is defined as

$$h_K : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad h_K(X) = \sup_{x \in K} \langle X, x \rangle.$$

Roughly speaking, $h_K(X)$ is the signed distance from the origin of the supporting hyperplane to K having X as outer normal. In particular, if the origin belongs to K then h_K is non-negative and $h_K(X) \leq \text{diam}(K)$ for every $X \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. We refer to [13] for further properties of the support function.

Theorem 5. *Let Ω be an open bounded convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, u be the solution of (7) in Ω and let h be the support function of $\text{cl}(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\tau(\Omega) = \frac{1}{n+2} \int_{\partial\Omega} h(\nu(x)) |\nabla u(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x), \quad (12)$$

where ν is the Gauss map of $\text{cl}(\Omega)$. Moreover, let Ω' be another open bounded convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let h' be the support function of $\text{cl}(\Omega')$. Then

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\tau(\Omega + s\Omega') - \tau(\Omega)}{s} = \int_{\partial\Omega} h'(\nu(x)) |\nabla u(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x). \quad (13)$$

By the above result and Definition 1 we immediately get the validity of formulas (1) and (2) for τ .

Corollary 1. *In the assumptions and notations of Theorem 5 we have*

$$\tau(\Omega) = \frac{1}{n+2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h(X) d\mu_{\tau, \Omega}(X), \quad (14)$$

and

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\tau(\Omega + s\Omega') - \tau(\Omega)}{s} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h'(X) d\mu_{\tau, \Omega}(X). \quad (15)$$

Some comments are in order. Under the assumption that the boundaries of Ω and Ω' are of class C^2 , the theorem was stated in [4, Proposition 18], with a proof of (12) and a sketch of the proof of (13). A detailed proof of the latter equality can be found in [6]. In order to remove the regularity assumption we will combine several ingredients: (i) the validity of the equality for sets with smooth boundary; (ii) the density, with respect to the Hausdorff metric, of convex bodies with smooth boundary in the class of all convex bodies; (iii) the continuity, in both arguments, of the set functional

$$(\Omega, \Omega') \longrightarrow \int_{\partial\Omega} h'(\nu_\Omega(x)) |\nabla u(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x)$$

(with respect to the Hausdorff metric). The main effort will be required for part (iii). The proof of Theorem 5 is preceded by some preparatory lemmas. In the sequel we use the notion of Hausdorff distance and Hausdorff metric, for which we refer to [13].

Lemma 2. *Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex body with non-empty interior and let K_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence of convex bodies converging to K in the Hausdorff metric. Then there exists a sequence α_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}$, such that*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i = 1, \quad \alpha_i K_i \subset \text{int}(K), \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N},$$

and $\alpha_i K_i$ converges to K in the Hausdorff metric.

Proof. We recall that, in the set of convex bodies, the convergence with respect to the Hausdorff metric is equivalent to uniform convergence of support functions on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ (see [13]). It is not restrictive to assume that for some $\rho > 0$

$$B_\rho \subset K, \quad K_i \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N},$$

where B_ρ is the ball centered at the origin with radius ρ . This implies $h(X) \geq \rho$ and $h_i(X) \geq \rho$ for every $X \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Similarly, as $\text{diam}(K_i) \rightarrow \text{diam}(K)$, the functions h and h_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}$, are uniformly bounded from above. We set

$$\alpha_i = \min_{X \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{h(X)}{h_i(X)} - \frac{1}{i}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By the uniform convergence, $\alpha_i > 0$ (at least definitively) and $\alpha_i \rightarrow 1$ as i tends to infinity. We also have that

$$\alpha_i h_i(X) < h(X) \quad \forall X \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N},$$

which implies $\alpha_i K_i \subset \text{int}(K)$. Finally $\alpha_i h_i$ converges uniformly to h in $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, i.e. $\alpha_i K_i$ converges to K in the Hausdorff metric. $\square$

Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex body such that the origin is an interior point of K . For $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ we set

$$\rho_K(\theta) = \sup\{\rho \geq 0 \mid \rho\theta \in K\}.$$

This is the *radial function* of K . The corresponding *radial map* is defined by

$$r_K : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \partial K, \quad r_K(\theta) = \rho_K(\theta) \theta.$$

In other words, $r_K(\theta)$ is the (unique) intersection of $\partial\Omega$ with the ray from the origin parallel to θ . For the reader's convenience, throughout this paper the variable of radial functions will be denoted by θ while the variable of support functions will be denoted by X , though they are both defined on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

Let $\Omega = \text{int}(K)$; if $f : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ -integrable we have the following formula for the change of variable given by the radial map:

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} f(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(r_K(\theta)) \frac{\rho_K^n(\theta)}{h_K(\nu_K(\rho_K(\theta)))} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\theta). \quad (16)$$

Let Ω_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}$ be a sequence of open bounded convex sets such that $K_i = \text{cl}(\Omega_i)$ converges to K in the Hausdorff metric as $i \rightarrow \infty$; then ρ_{K_i} converges uniformly to ρ_K on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and

$$R_i(\theta) := \frac{\rho_{K_i}^n(\theta)}{h_{K_i}(\nu_{K_i}(\rho_{K_i}(\theta)))} \longrightarrow \frac{\rho_K^n(\theta)}{h_K(\nu_K(\rho_K(\theta)))} \quad \text{for } \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\text{-a.e. } \theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}. \quad (17)$$

Moreover the functions R_i are uniformly bounded above and below by positive constants depending on the *inner radius* of Ω (i.e. the radius of the largest ball contained in Ω) and the diameter of Ω .

Lemma 3. *Let Ω , Ω_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}$, be open bounded convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and assume that the sequence of convex bodies $K_i = \text{cl}(\Omega_i)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, converges to $K = \text{cl}(\Omega)$ in the Hausdorff metric. Let*

$$f : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f_i : \partial\Omega_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N},$$

be $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ -measurable functions such that:

i. there exists $C > 0$ for which

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} \leq C, \quad \|f_i\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega_i)} \leq C, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N};$$

ii. for $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ -a.e. $x \in \partial\Omega$, if $x_i \in \partial\Omega_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, is such that $x_i \rightarrow x$ non-tangentially and f_i is defined in x_i , then

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(x_i) = f(x).$$

Under these conditions we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial \Omega_i} f_i(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) = \int_{\partial \Omega} f(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x). \quad (18)$$

Proof. We may assume that the origin is an interior point of Ω and of Ω_i , for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let ρ and r be the radial function and the radial map respectively, of $K = \text{cl}(\Omega)$, and, for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let ρ_i and r_i be the corresponding objects associated to $K_i = \text{cl}(\Omega_i)$. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$A_i = \{\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \mid f_i(r_i(\theta)) \text{ is defined}\} \quad \text{and} \quad A = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i.$$

Denote by A' the set of those points $\theta \in A$ such that assumption *ii.* of the theorem holds at $r(\theta)$; we have that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \setminus A') = 0$. Note that for every $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ the sequence $r_i(\theta)$ converges to $r(\theta)$ non-tangentially, since all these points lie on the same ray from the origin, and the origin is in the interior of Ω . Hence

$$\lim f_i(r_i(\theta)) = f(r(\theta)) \quad \forall \theta \in A'. \quad (19)$$

To conclude the proof, apply the change of variable formula (16) to both sides of (18); then, by (17), (19) and assumption *i.*, we may apply the Dominated Convergence Theorem and obtain equality (18). $\square$

Remark 2. Let $\Omega, \Omega_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$, be open bounded convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and assume that the sequence of convex bodies $K_i = \text{cl}(\Omega_i), i \in \mathbb{N}$, converges to $K = \text{cl}(\Omega)$ in the Hausdorff metric. Let ν and ν_i denote the Gauss map of K and of $K_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$, respectively. Let $x \in \partial \Omega$ be a point where $\partial \Omega$ is differentiable, i.e. $\nu(x)$ is defined and let $x_i \in \partial \Omega_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$, be such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = x, \quad \partial \Omega_i \text{ is differentiable at } x_i, \text{ for every } i.$$

Then

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \nu_i(x_i) = \nu(x).$$

Lemma 4. Let $\Omega, \Omega_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$, be open bounded convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and assume that the sequence of convex bodies $K_i = \text{cl}(\Omega_i), i \in \mathbb{N}$, converges to $K = \text{cl}(\Omega)$ in the Hausdorff metric. Assume moreover that $\text{cl}(\Omega_i) \subset \Omega$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let u be the solution of problem (7) in Ω . Let $x \in \partial \Omega$ be such that ∇u has finite non-tangential limit at x and $\partial \Omega$ is differentiable at x . Let $x_i \in \partial \Omega_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$, be such that x_i converges non-tangentially to x and $\partial \Omega_i$ is differentiable at x_i for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Define

$$\nabla_T u(x_i) = \nabla u(x_i) - \langle \nabla u(x_i), \nu_i(x_i) \rangle \nu_i(x_i), \quad i \in \mathbb{N},$$

where ν_i is the Gauss map of $\text{cl}(\Omega_i)$. Then

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \nabla_T u(x_i) = 0.$$

Proof. If

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow x \text{ n.t.}} \nabla u(y) = 0,$$

then there is nothing to prove. Then we assume that the above limit is not the null vector. For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $\epsilon_i = u(x_i) > 0$. Let $\bar{\nu}_i$ denote the Gauss map of $\text{cl}(\Omega_{\epsilon_i})$, for $i \in \mathbb{N}$; then

$$-\frac{1}{|\nabla u(x_i)|} \nabla u(x_i) = \bar{\nu}_i(x_i) \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By the assumptions of the present lemma and by Remark 2 we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \nu_i(x_i) = \nu(x), \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\nu}_i(x_i) = \nu(x).$$

The claim follows from the definition of $\nabla_T u$. □

Let Ω, Ω' be open bounded convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$; let u be the solution of (7) in Ω , let h' be the support function of $\text{cl}(\Omega')$ and let ν be the Gauss map of $\text{cl}(\Omega)$. We define the functional

$$\tau_1(\Omega, \Omega') = \int_{\partial\Omega} h'(\nu(x)) |\nabla u(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x).$$

According to Theorem 5 and by analogy with the case of the volume, τ_1 can be seen as the *mixed torsional rigidity* of Ω and Ω' .

Remark 3. Let Ω and Ω' be as above and let $s > 0$. If ν and ν_s denote the Gauss maps of $\text{cl}(\Omega)$ and $\text{cl}(s\Omega)$ respectively, then $\nu_s(sx) = \nu(x)$ for every $x \in \partial\Omega$. This fact, together with Remark 1 shows that

$$\tau_1(s\Omega, \Omega') = s^{n+1} \tau_1(\Omega, \Omega'). \quad (20)$$

The functional τ_1 is homogeneous with respect to its second variable also; indeed, if h_s is the support function of $\text{cl}(s\Omega')$, then $h_s(X) = sh(X)$ for every $X \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Hence

$$\tau_1(\Omega, s\Omega') = s \tau_1(\Omega, \Omega'). \quad (21)$$

Theorem 6. *The functional τ_1 is continuous with respect to the Hausdorff metric, i.e. let Ω and Ω' be as above and let $\Omega_i, \Omega'_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$ be two sequences of open bounded convex sets such that $\text{cl}(\Omega_i)$ and $\text{cl}(\Omega'_i)$ converge in the Hausdorff metric to $\text{cl}(\Omega)$ and $\text{cl}(\Omega')$ respectively, as i tends to infinity. Then*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \tau_1(\Omega_i, \Omega'_i) = \tau_1(\Omega, \Omega').$$

Proof. Let h' and $h'_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$ be the support functions of $\text{cl}(\Omega')$ and $\text{cl}(\Omega'_i)$ respectively. By the assumptions we have

$$h_i \longrightarrow h \quad \text{uniformly on } \mathbb{S}^{n-1}. \quad (22)$$

A simple argument based on Lemma 12 and equality (20) shows that we may assume without loss of generality that $\text{cl}(\Omega_i) \subset \Omega$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let u_i be the solution of problem (7) in Ω_i and let ν_i be the Gauss map of $\text{cl}(\Omega_i)$. Our goal is to prove that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial\Omega_i} h'_i(\nu_i(x)) |\nabla u_i(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} h'(\nu(x)) |\nabla u(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x). \quad (23)$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\partial\Omega_i} h'_i(\nu_i(x)) |\nabla u_i(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) - \int_{\partial\Omega} h'(\nu(x)) |\nabla u(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \right| \leq \\ & \int_{\partial\Omega_i} |h'_i(\nu_i(x))| \left| |\nabla u_i(x)|^2 - |\nabla u(x)|^2 \right| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) + \\ & + \left| \int_{\partial\Omega_i} h'_i(\nu_i(x)) |\nabla u(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) - \int_{\partial\Omega} h'(\nu(x)) |\nabla u(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

We first deal with the second summand of the right hand-side of the above inequality. Let

$$f_i(x) = h'_i(\nu_i(x)) |\nabla u(x)|^2, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad f(x) = h'(\nu(x)) |\nabla u(x)|^2.$$

Note that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\text{diam}(\Omega), \text{diam}(\Omega'), \text{diam}(\Omega_i), \text{diam}(\Omega'_i) \leq C \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 1,

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}, \|f_i\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega_i)} \leq C' \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N},$$

for some $C' > 0$. Let $x \in \partial\Omega$ be such that ∇u admits non-tangential limit at x , and let $x_i \in \partial\Omega_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, be such that $\nu(x)$ and $\nu_i(x_i)$ are defined for every i , and assume that x_i tends non-tangentially to x . Then, $\nu_i(x_i) \rightarrow \nu(x)$ (see Remark 2) and, by (22), $h'_i(\nu_i(x_i)) \rightarrow h'(\nu(x))$ and $|\nabla u(x_i)|^2 \rightarrow |\nabla u(x)|^2$. Applying Lemma 3 and Proposition 1 we get

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial\Omega_i} h'_i(\nu_i(x)) |\nabla u(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} h'(\nu(x)) |\nabla u(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x). \quad (25)$$

Next we prove that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial\Omega_i} |h'_i(\nu_i(x))| \left| |\nabla u_i(x)|^2 - |\nabla u(x)|^2 \right| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) = 0. \quad (26)$$

This fact, together with (25) and (24) leads to (23) and then to the conclusion of the proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega_i} |h'_i(\nu_i(x))| \left| |\nabla u_i(x)|^2 - |\nabla u(x)|^2 \right| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) & \leq C \int_{\partial\Omega_i} \left| |\nabla u_i(x)|^2 - |\nabla u(x)|^2 \right| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \\ & \leq C_1 \int_{\partial\Omega_i} |\nabla(u_i(x) - u(x))| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \\ & \leq C_2 \left(\int_{\partial\Omega_i} |\nabla(u_i(x) - u(x))|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1, C_2 > 0$ are independent of i . Here we used the fact that, since the diameters of $\text{cl}(\Omega_i)$ are uniformly bounded, the same holds for $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial\Omega_i)$. In the remaining part of the proof we will show that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial\Omega_i} |\nabla(u_i(x) - u(x))|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) = 0. \quad (27)$$

For $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, consider the set

$$\Omega_i^\epsilon = \{x \in \Omega_i \mid u_i(x) > \epsilon\}.$$

Ω_i^ϵ is an open set with boundary of class C^∞ ; moreover, for fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{cl}(\Omega_i^\epsilon)$ converges to $\text{cl}(\Omega_i)$ in the Hausdorff metric as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. The function $u_i - u$ is harmonic in Ω_i^ϵ and $u_i \equiv \epsilon$ on $\partial\Omega_i^\epsilon$. We apply Corollary 2.1.14 of [8] to get

$$\int_{\partial\Omega_i^\epsilon} |\nabla(u_i(x) - u(x))|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \leq C_3 \int_{\partial\Omega_i^\epsilon} |\nabla_{T_i^\epsilon} u(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x), \quad (28)$$

where C_3 is a constant depending only on the inner radius and the diameter of Ω and $\nabla_{T_i^\epsilon} u$ is the tangential component of ∇u to $\partial\Omega_i^\epsilon$:

$$\nabla_{T_i^\epsilon} u = \nabla u - \langle \nabla u, \nu_i^\epsilon \rangle \nu_i^\epsilon$$

(here ν_i^ϵ is the Gauss map of $\text{cl}(\Omega_i^\epsilon)$). As above, we may apply Lemma 1, Proposition 1, Remark 2 and Lemma 3 to deduce (for a fixed i)

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\partial\Omega_i^\epsilon} |\nabla(u_i(x) - u(x))|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) &= \int_{\partial\Omega_i} |\nabla(u_i(x) - u(x))|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x), \\ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\partial\Omega_i^\epsilon} |\nabla_{T_i^\epsilon} u(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) &= \int_{\partial\Omega_i} |\nabla_{T_i} u(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\nabla_{T_i} u = \nabla u - \langle \nabla u, \nu_i \rangle \nu_i$$

is defined $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ -a.e. on $\partial\Omega_i$. Hence

$$\int_{\partial\Omega_i} |\nabla(u_i(x) - u(x))|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \leq C_3 \int_{\partial\Omega_i} |\nabla_{T_i} u(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x). \quad (29)$$

By Lemma 4, Lemma 1 and Lemma 3 we get

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial\Omega_i} |\nabla_T u(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) = 0. \quad (30)$$

Equality (27) follows from (29) and (30); the proof is complete. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 5. Let us start with formula (12). We recall that the equality is true under the assumption that the boundary of the domain is of class C^2 (see [4]). Let $\epsilon > 0$ be smaller than $\max_{\bar{\Omega}} u$ and consider the super-level set

$$\Omega_\epsilon = \{x \in \Omega \mid u(x) > \epsilon\}.$$

We know that Ω_ϵ is convex, $\partial\Omega_\epsilon$ is of class C^∞ and $\text{cl}(\Omega_\epsilon) \rightarrow \text{cl}(\Omega)$ in the Hausdorff metric as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. Notice that the function $u_\epsilon = u - \epsilon$ is the solution of problem (7) in Ω_ϵ ; consequently

$$\tau(\Omega_\epsilon) = \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

As $\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < \infty$ and $\mathcal{H}^n(\Omega \setminus \Omega_\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ (where $\mathcal{H}^n$ denotes the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^n$), we obtain

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \tau(\Omega_\epsilon) = \tau(\Omega).$$

Moreover we have

$$\tau(\Omega_\epsilon) = \frac{1}{n+2} \int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon} h_\epsilon(\nu_\epsilon(x)) |\nabla u(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) = \frac{1}{n+2} \tau_1(\Omega_\epsilon, \Omega_\epsilon),$$

where h_ϵ and ν_ϵ are the support function and the Gauss map of Ω_ϵ respectively. Passing to the limit for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ and using Theorem 6 we get

$$\tau(\Omega) = \frac{1}{n+2} \tau_1(\Omega, \Omega)$$

i.e. (12).

Next we prove (13). Let $\Omega_i, \Omega'_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$ be two sequences of open bounded convex sets, with boundaries of class C^2 , such that $\text{cl}(\Omega_i) \rightarrow \text{cl}(\Omega)$ and $\text{cl}(\Omega'_i) \rightarrow \text{cl}(\Omega')$ as i tends to infinity, in the Hausdorff metric. For $t \geq 0$ let

$$\Omega_t = \Omega + t\Omega', \quad \Omega_{i,t} = \Omega_i + t\Omega'_i, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We know that (see [4, equality (30)]), for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $t > 0$ we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \tau(\Omega_{i,t}) = \int_{\partial\Omega_{i,t}} h'_i(\nu_{i,t}(x)) |\nabla u_{i,t}(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) = \tau_1(\Omega_{i,t}, \Omega'_i), \quad (31)$$

where: h'_i is the support function of $\text{cl}(\Omega'_i)$, $\nu_{i,t}$ is the Gauss map of $\text{cl}(\Omega_{i,t})$, $u_{i,t}$ is the solution of problem (7) in $\Omega_{i,t}$. By (31) we have

$$\tau(\Omega_{i,t}) - \tau(\Omega_i) = \int_0^t \tau_1(\Omega_{i,s}, \Omega'_i) ds, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (32)$$

Moreover, for every $t \geq 0$, $\text{cl}(\Omega_{i,t})$ converges to $\text{cl}(\Omega_t)$ as i tends to infinity. Consequently

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \tau(\Omega_{i,t}) = \tau(\Omega_t) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \tau_1(\Omega_{i,t}, \Omega'_i) = \tau_1(\Omega_t, \Omega'). \quad (33)$$

If t ranges in a bounded right neighborhood of 0, say $[0, 1]$, then the diameters of the sets $\Omega_{i,t}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, are uniformly bounded. Hence, by Lemma 1 and the definition of τ_1 there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|\tau_1(\Omega_{i,t}, \Omega'_i)| \leq C \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \forall t \in [0, 1]. \quad (34)$$

Using (32), (33), (34) and the Dominated Convergence Theorem we obtain

$$\tau(\Omega_t) - \tau(\Omega) = \int_0^t \tau_1(\Omega_s, \Omega') ds, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \quad (35)$$

Equality (13) follows since the function $s \rightarrow \tau_1(\Omega_s, \Omega')$ is continuous. $\square$

By the proof of Theorem 5, and in particular from (35), we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2. *Let Ω and Ω' be open bounded convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$. The function $t \rightarrow \tau(\Omega + t\Omega')$, defined for $t \geq 0$, is differentiable for every $t > 0$ and*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \tau(\Omega + t\Omega') = \tau_1(\Omega + t\Omega', \Omega'), \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (36)$$

Remark 4. Let Ω and Ω' be as above. For $s \in [0, 1]$ we consider the function

$$m(s) = \tau(s\Omega + (1-s)\Omega').$$

By homogeneity, for $s \in (0, 1)$ we can write

$$m(s) = s^{n+2} \tau\left(\Omega + \frac{1-s}{s} \Omega'\right).$$

Using Corollary 2 and Remark 3 is quite simple to deduce the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} m'(s) &= \tau_1\left(s\Omega + (1-s)\Omega', \Omega + \frac{1-s}{s} \Omega'\right) - \tau_1\left(s\Omega + (1-s)\Omega', \frac{1}{s} \Omega'\right) \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega_s} (h(\nu_s(x)) - h'(\nu_s(x))) |\nabla u_s(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where: $\Omega_s = s\Omega + (1-s)\Omega'$, u_s is the solution of problem (7) in Ω_s , h and h' are the support functions of Ω and Ω' respectively, and ν_s is the Gauss map of $\text{cl}(\Omega_s)$.

4 An extension of the Hadamard formula

Let $g : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and positive; we consider the set

$$B[g] = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, X \rangle \leq g(X), \forall X \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\}.$$

$B[g]$ is a compact convex set, i.e. a convex body, and the origin is an interior point of $B[g]$. Moreover,

$$h_{B[g]}(X) \leq g(X) \quad \forall X \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

If K is a convex body such that $0 \in \text{int}(K)$ and $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$, then for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|t|$ sufficiently small $h_K(X) + tf(X) > 0$ for every $X \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, so that $B[h_K + tf]$ is well defined. The aim of the present section is to prove the following result.

Theorem 7. *Let Ω be an open bounded convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ containing the origin and let $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$. Let h and ν be the support function and the Gauss map of $\text{cl}(\Omega)$ respectively. Then*

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \tau(\text{int}(B[h + tf])) \right|_{t=0} = \int_{\partial\Omega} f(\nu(x)) |\nabla u(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x). \quad (38)$$

Corollary 3. *In the assumptions and notations of Theorem 7 we have*

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \tau(\text{int}(B[h + tf])) \right|_{t=0} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(X) d\mu_{\tau, \Omega}(X). \quad (39)$$

For the proof of this result we follow the argument presented in [12] and we use two lemmas proved therein, that we quote without proof.

Lemma 5. *Let Ω and f be as in Theorem 7 and let $r, R > 0$ be such that*

$$B_r \subset \Omega \subset B_R,$$

where B_r and B_R are the balls centered at the origin with radii r and R respectively. Let h_t be the support function of $B[h + tf]$ (for $|t|$ sufficiently small) and

$$M = \max_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |f|.$$

Then

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \frac{r}{2M}} \frac{1}{t} |h_t(X) - h(X)| \leq \frac{RM}{r} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}. \quad (40)$$

Lemma 6. *In the notations of Lemma 5, let $x \in \partial\Omega$ be such that ν is continuous at x and let $X = \nu(x)$. Let X_t be a set of points on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ such that $X_t \rightarrow X$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{h_t(X_t) - h(X_t)}{t} = f(X). \quad (41)$$

Proof of Theorem 7. We use the notation of the above lemmas; in particular, h_t denotes the support function of $B[h + tf]$. For $s \in [0, 1]$ consider the set

$$\Omega_{s,t} = s \operatorname{int}(B[h + tf]) + (1 - s)\Omega.$$

$\Omega_{s,t}$ is an open bounded convex set; we denote by $h_{s,t}$ and $\nu_{s,t}$ the support function and the Gauss map of $\operatorname{cl}(\Omega_{s,t})$ respectively, and by $u_{s,t}$ the solution of (7) in $\Omega_{s,t}$. By Remark 4 we have, for every t ,

$$\tau(\Omega_{1,t}) - \tau(\Omega_{0,t}) = \int_0^1 \int_{\partial\Omega_{s,t}} |\nabla u_{s,t}(x)|^2 (h_t(\nu_{s,t}(x)) - h(\nu_{s,t}(x))) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) ds.$$

On the other hand

$$\Omega_{1,t} = \operatorname{int}(B[h + tf]), \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_{0,t} = \Omega,$$

so that

$$\frac{\tau(\operatorname{int}(B[h + tf])) - \tau(\Omega)}{t} = \int_0^1 \int_{\partial\Omega_{s,t}} |\nabla u_{s,t}(x)|^2 \frac{h_t(\nu_{s,t}(x)) - h(\nu_{s,t}(x))}{t} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) ds. \quad (42)$$

In the rest of the proof we will compute the limit, as $t \rightarrow 0$, of the right hand-side of (42). Note that there are constant $r_1, R_1 > 0$, independent of s and t , such that

$$B_{r_1} \subset \Omega_{s,t} \subset B_{R_1}, \quad (43)$$

for every $s \in [0, 1]$ and t , where B_{r_1} and B_{R_1} are balls centered at the origin with radii r_1 and R_1 respectively. Let $\rho_{s,t}$ and $r_{s,t}$ be the radial map and the radial function of $\operatorname{cl}(\Omega_{s,t})$ respectively and let

$$R_{s,t} = \frac{\rho_{s,t}^n(\theta)}{h_{s,t}(\nu_{s,t}(\rho_{s,t}(\theta)))}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1},$$

be the Jacobian of the change of variable given by the radial map (see (16) in §3). By (43) and Lemma 1 there is a constant $C > 0$, independent of s and t , such that

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq R_{s,t} \leq C \quad \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\text{-a.e. on } \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla u_{s,t}| \leq C \quad \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\text{-a.e. on } \partial\Omega_{s,t}. \quad (44)$$

Note that for every $s \in [0, 1]$, $\operatorname{cl}(\Omega_{s,t})$ converges to $\operatorname{cl}(\Omega)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Hence we may apply Theorem 6 choosing $h' \equiv 1$ (i.e. $\Omega' = \text{unit ball}$) and get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial\Omega_{s,t}} |\nabla u_{s,t}|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1},$$

or, equivalently,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u_{s,t}(r_{s,t}(\theta))|^2 R_{s,t}(\theta) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\theta) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u(r(\theta))|^2 R(\theta) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\theta), \quad (45)$$

where r is the radial map of Ω and R is the corresponding Jacobian. Let

$$g_{s,t}(\theta) = \frac{h_t(\nu_{s,t}(r_{s,t}(\theta))) - h(\nu_{s,t}(r_{s,t}(\theta)))}{t}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

By Lemma 5 there exist a constant C_1 independent of $s \in [0, 1]$ and t such that

$$|g_{s,t}(\theta)| \leq C_1 \quad \forall \theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \quad (46)$$

and, by Lemma 6,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} g_{s,t}(\theta) = f(\nu(r(\theta))), \quad \text{for } \mathcal{H}^{n-1}\text{-a.e. } \theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}. \quad (47)$$

For every $s \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega_{s,t}} |\nabla u_{s,t}(x)|^2 \frac{h_t(\nu_{s,t}(x)) - h(\nu_{s,t}(x))}{t} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) = \\ \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} g_{s,t}(\theta) |\nabla u_{s,t}(r_{s,t}(\theta))|^2 R_{s,t}(\theta) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

From (44)–(47) and Hölder inequality it is not hard to deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} g_{s,t}(\theta) |\nabla u_{s,t}(r_{s,t}(\theta))|^2 R_{s,t}(\theta) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\theta) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(\nu(r(\theta))) |\nabla u(r(\theta))|^2 R(\theta) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\theta) \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} f(\nu(x)) |\nabla u(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, (44) and (46) ensure that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} g_{s,t}(\theta) |\nabla u_{s,t}(r_{s,t}(\theta))|^2 R_{s,t}(\theta) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\theta) \right| \leq C_2,$$

for some constant $C_2 > 0$ independent of s and t . Consequently we may apply the Dominated Convergence Theorem to the right hand-side of (42) and we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tau(\text{int}(B[h + tf])) - \tau(\Omega)}{t} &= \int_0^1 \int_{\partial\Omega} f(\nu(x)) |\nabla u(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} f(\nu(x)) |\nabla u(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x). \end{aligned}$$

□

5 Proof of Theorem 1

Let μ be a non-negative Borel measure on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ such that the assumptions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled. In particular, there exists a convex body $\tilde{K}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, uniquely determined up to translations, with non-empty interior, such that μ is the *area measure* $\sigma_{\tilde{K}}$ of $\tilde{K}$; in other words $\tilde{K}$ is the solution of the classical Minkowski problem for μ . We refer the reader to [13, Chapter 4] for the definition of area measure and to [13, Theorem 7.1.2] for the existence of $\tilde{K}$. For simplicity, we may assume that $0 \in \text{int}(\tilde{K})$. Let $r > 0$ be such that $\tilde{K}$ contains B_r , the ball centered at 0 with radius r . Note that r depends on μ only. Throughout this section C will denote a generic positive constant depending on μ , the dimension n and the constant M appearing later on in (50). For a fixed $X \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle X, Y \rangle| d\mu(Y) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle X, Y \rangle| d\sigma_{\tilde{K}}(Y)$$

is the $(n-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of the orthogonal projection of $\tilde{K}$ onto $X^\perp$. As $\tilde{K} \supset B_r$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle X, Y \rangle| d\mu(Y) \geq C \quad \forall X \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}. \quad (48)$$

Now let Ω be an open bounded convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let h be the support function of $K = \text{cl}(\Omega)$. Assume that

i.

$$\tau(\Omega) \geq 1; \quad (49)$$

ii.

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h(X) d\mu(X) \leq M, \quad (50)$$

for some positive constant M .

Condition *i.* and the monotonicity of the torsional rigidity imply

$$\text{diam}(\Omega) \geq C. \quad (51)$$

Let $d = \text{diam}(\Omega)$; we prove that $d \leq C$ using the same argument appearing in [11, p. 273], that we quote for completeness. Without loss of generality we may assume that for some $\bar{X} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, $\pm \frac{d}{2} \bar{X} \in \partial\Omega$. Then for every $X \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ we have

$$h(X) = \sup_{Y \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \langle Y, X \rangle \geq \max \left\{ \pm \frac{d}{2} \langle X, \bar{X} \rangle \right\} = \frac{d}{2} |\langle X, \bar{X} \rangle|.$$

Hence

$$M \geq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h(X) d\mu(X) \geq \frac{d}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle X, \bar{X} \rangle| d\mu(X) \geq C. \quad (52)$$

From (48) we obtain

$$\text{diam}(\Omega) \leq C. \quad (53)$$

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the so-called *John ellipsoid* of Ω , i.e. $\mathcal{E}$ is the ellipsoid of minimal volume containing $\text{cl}(\Omega)$. We have that (see e.g. [11, Lemma 5.3]):

$$C\mathcal{E} \subset \text{cl}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{E}. \quad (54)$$

Let $0 < a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n$ be the lengths of the semi-major axes of $\mathcal{E}$. By (53)

$$a_n \leq C. \quad (55)$$

Let u be the solution of problem (7) in Ω ; by (53) and Lemma 1, $|\nabla u(x)| \leq C$ for every $x \in \Omega$. Hence

$$1 \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \leq C\mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{E}) \leq Ca_1,$$

where we have used (55). This implies that $a_1 \geq C$. By the inclusion (54), we have that Ω contains a ball of radius depending only on n, μ and M . We collect the facts proved so far in this section in the following statement.

Proposition 2. *Let μ be as in Theorem 1 and let Ω be an open bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ which verifies conditions i. and ii. Then there exist $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and two positive numbers r, R depending only on n, μ and M such that*

$$B(x_0, r) \subset \Omega \subset B(x_0, R), \quad (56)$$

where $B(x_0, r)$ and $B(x_0, R)$ denote the balls centered at x_0 with radius r and R respectively.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let us consider the following variational problem

$$m = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_L(X) d\mu(X) \mid L \text{ is a convex body, } \text{int}(L) \neq \emptyset, \tau(\text{int}(L)) \geq 1 \right\}. \quad (57)$$

Let $L_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$, be a minimizing sequence and let $\Omega_i = \text{int}(L_i)$. Each Ω_i verifies (56) for some $x_0 = x_0^i$; on the other hand, by (8) the integral appearing in (57) is translation invariant and we may assume that $x_0^i = 0$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. By the Blaschke selection Theorem, a subsequence of L_i converges to a convex body K in the Hausdorff metric. For simplicity we assume that the sequence L_i itself converges to K . By (56), K has non-empty interior; let $\Omega = \text{int}(K)$. Using the continuity of τ and the uniform convergence of h_{L_i} to h_K as $i \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$\tau(\Omega) \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_K(X) d\mu(X) = m > 0,$$

i.e. K is a minimizer. Notice that if $\tau(\Omega) > 1$, then we could choose a suitable $s < 1$ such that

$$\tau(s\Omega) \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_{K^s}(X) d\mu(X) = s \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_K(X) d\mu(X) < m$$

(with $K_s = \text{cl}(s\Omega)$), i.e. a contradiction. Hence $\tau(\Omega) = 1$. Consider $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ and let $\bar{t} > 0$ be such that $h_t = h + tf > 0$ on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, for every $t \in (-\bar{t}, \bar{t})$ (here, as usual, h is the support function of K). Let

$$K_t = \frac{1}{[\tau(\text{int}(B[h_t]))]^{1/(n+2)}} B[h_t], \quad \Omega_t = \text{int}(K_t), \quad t \in (-\bar{t}, \bar{t})$$

(see §4 for the definition of $B[h_t]$). Note that $\Omega_0 = \Omega$ and $\tau(\Omega_t) = 1$ for $t \in (-\bar{t}, \bar{t})$, hence the function

$$\phi(t) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_{K_t}(X) d\mu(X) = \frac{1}{[\tau(\Omega_t)]^{1/(n+2)}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_{B[h_t]}(X) d\mu(X), \quad t \in (-\bar{t}, \bar{t}),$$

has a minimum for $t = 0$. An easy consequence of Lemmas 5 and 6 is

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_{B[h_t]}(X) d\mu(X) \right|_{t=0} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(X) d\mu(X). \quad (58)$$

Hence, by Theorem 7, ϕ is differentiable at $t = 0$ and we have $\phi'(0) = 0$. Applying Corollary 3 and (58) we deduce

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(X) d\mu_{\tau, \Omega}(X) = m \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(X) d\mu(X),$$

where $\mu_{\tau, \Omega}$ is the measure associated to Ω as in Definition 1. As $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ is arbitrary, we have $\mu_{\tau, \Omega} = m \mu$ and, as $\mu_{\tau, \Omega}$ is positively homogeneous of order $(n+1)$ with respect to dilations of Ω ,

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \frac{1}{m^{1/(n+1)}} \Omega$$

verifies $\mu_{\tau, \tilde{\Omega}} = \mu$. This concludes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

References

- [1] C. BORELL, Greenian potentials and concavity, Math. Ann. 272 (1985), pp. 155–160.
- [2] L. CAFFARELLI, D. JERISON & E. LIEB, On the case of equality in the Brunn-Minkowski inequality for capacity, Adv. Math. 117 (1996), 193–207.
- [3] S. T. CHENG & S. T. YAU, On the regularity of the solution of the n -dimensional Minkowski problem, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **29** (1976), pp. 495–516.
- [4] A. COLESANTI, Brunn-Minkowski inequalities for variational functionals and related problems, Adv. Math. 194 (2005), pp. 105–140.
- [5] B. E. J. DAHLBERG, Estimates for harmonic measure, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 65 (1977), pp. 275–283.

- [6] M. FIMIANI, *Sulla rigidità torsionale di corpi convessi*, Tesi di Laurea, Università degli Studi di Firenze, 2008.
- [7] D. GILBARG & N. TRUDINGER, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [8] C. E. KENIG, *Harmonic analysis techniques for second order elliptic boundary value problems*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994.
- [9] A. KENNINGTON, Power concavity and boundary value problems, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 34 (1985), pp. 687–704.
- [10] N. KOREVAAR, Capillary surface convexity over convex domains, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 32 (1983), pp. 73–81.
- [11] D. JERISON, A Minkowski problem for electrostatic capacity, *Acta Math.*, 176 (1996) pp. 1–47.
- [12] D. JERISON, The direct method in the calculus of variations for convex bodies, *Adv. Math.* 122 (1996), pp. 262–279.
- [13] R. SCHNEIDER, *Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.

ANDREA COLESANTI

Dipartimento di Matematica 'U. Dini' – Università di Firenze

Viale Morgagni 67/a

50134 Firenze, Italy

colesant@math.unifi.it

MICHELE FIMIANI

Dipartimento di Matematica 'U. Dini' – Università di Firenze

Viale Morgagni 67/a

50134 Firenze, Italy